





U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services



B5


DATE: **DEC 26 2012** Office: TEXAS SERVICE CENTER FILE: 

IN RE: Petitioner:
Beneficiary:



PETITION: Immigrant Petition for Alien Worker as a Member of the Professions Holding an Advanced Degree or an Alien of Exceptional Ability Pursuant to Section 203(b)(2) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1153(b)(2)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:



INSTRUCTIONS:

Enclosed please find the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All of the documents related to this matter have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Please be advised that any further inquiry that you might have concerning your case must be made to that office.

Thank you,

Ron Rosenberg
Acting Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The Director, Texas Service Center, denied the employment-based immigrant visa petition. The petitioner appealed the decision to the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO). The appeal will be rejected as untimely filed.

The petitioner must appeal an unfavorable decision within 30 days of service. 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(2)(i). If the unfavorable decision was mailed, the appeal must be filed within 33 days. 8 C.F.R. § 103.8(b). An untimely appeal must be rejected as improperly filed. Neither the Immigration and Nationality Act nor the regulations grant the AAO authority to extend this time limit.

The filing date is the actual date of receipt at the location designated for filing. 8 C.F.R. § 103.2(a)(7)(i). The appeal must be signed and submitted with the correct fee. *Id.*

The director issued the decision denying the motion to reopen and reconsider on September 16, 2011. The director properly gave notice to the petitioner and counsel that it had 33 days to file the appeal. The petitioner filed the Form I-290B, Notice of Appeal or Motion, on February 15, 2012, or 152 days after the decision was issued. Although counsel stated that neither she nor the petitioner received the director's denial dated September 16, 2011, USCIS electronic records show that the director's denial was sent to the petitioner and counsel at their last known addresses (the same addresses used in the director's decision dated June 7, 2011).

Accordingly, the appeal is untimely.

If an untimely appeal meets the requirements of a motion to reopen or reconsider, the appeal must be treated as a motion, and a decision must be made on the merits of the case. 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(2)(v)(B)(2). The official having jurisdiction over a motion is the official who made the last decision in the proceeding, in this case the Director, Texas Service Center. 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(1)(ii). As required by 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(2)(ii)-(iv), the director reviewed the appeal prior to forwarding it to the AAO, and did not conclude that it met the requirements of a motion or otherwise warrant favorable action.

The untimely appeal must be rejected pursuant to 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(2)(v)(B)(1).

ORDER: The appeal is rejected.